

Fug_CH4

NETL Life Cycle Inventory Data Process Documentation File

Process Name:	0	Oil Sands Upgrading						
Reference Flow:		1 kg of Synthetic Crude Oil (SCO)						
Brief Description:	k	Energy use, feedstock, and emissions from production of 1 kg of synthetic crude oil at an upgrading facility (delayed coker or hydrocracker).						
Section I: Meta Data								
Geographical Coverage:		Canada	Region: Alberta					
Year Data Best Represents:		2010						
Process Type:		Energy Conversion	on (EC)					
Process Scope:		Gate-to-Gate Process (GG)						
Allocation Applied:		No						
Completeness: All Relevant Fl			s Capti	ured				
Flows Aggregated in	Data Se	et:						
✓ Process	☑ Energender	☑ Energy Use		nergy P&D 🔲 Material P&D		terial P&D		
Relevant Output Flo	ws Inclu	ıded in Data Set	:					
Releases to Air:	☑ Greenhouse Gases		☐ Crit	teria Air		Other		
Releases to Water:	□ Inorganic		Org	Organic Emissions		Other		
Water Usage:	☐ Water Consumption		☐ Water Demand (throughput)					
Releases to Soil:	☐ Inorganic Releases		Org	Organic Releases		Other		
Adjustable Process I	Paramet	ers:						
Cogen		[Dimensionless] 0 = Upgrading facility without cogen; 1 = Upgrading facility with cogen						
Upgrade		[Dimensionless] 0 = Delayed Coking; 1 = Hydrocracker						

[kg/kg] Fugitive emissions from

upgrading processes



NETL Life Cycle Inventory Data - Process Documentation File

Flar_CO2	[kg/kg] Flared emissions from upgrading processes
H2_In_Coke	[m3/m3] Coker hydrogen input
H2_In_H2	[m3/m3] Hydrocracker hydrogen input
NG_In_SMR_H2	[m3/m3] SMR hydrogen production per unit natural gas input
Diluent_MU	[kg/kg] Coker makeup diluent (naphtha) input
SCO_Bit_Coke	[kg/kg] Coker SCO output to bitumen input ratio
SCO_Bit_H2	[kg/kg] Hydrocracker SCO output to bitumen input ratio
Elec_req_Coke	[MWh/kg] Coker electricity required for a unit without cogen
Elec_prod_Coke	[MWh/kg] Coker electricity produced for a unit with cogen
Elec_req_H2	[MWh/kg] Hydrocracker electricity required for a unit without cogen
Elec_prod_H2	[MWh/kg] Hydrocracker electricity produced for a unit with cogen
NG_NoCo_Coke_St	[m3/m3] Gas required for boiler steam production - coker
NG_NoCo_H2_St	[m3/m3] Gas required for boiler steam production - hydrocracker
Proc_Gas_Coke	[m3/m3] Process gas production for coker
Proc_Gas_H2	[m3/m3] Process gas production for hydrocracker
Elec_Cogen_Coke	[MWh/m3] Electricity produced from coker upgrader with cogen
Elec_Cogen_H2	[MWh/m3] Electricity produced from hydrocracker upgrader with cogen
Gas_Turb_Ef_HHV	[dimensionless] Gas turbine HHV electricity generation efficiency
Nat_Gas_HHV	[MJ/m3] HHV of natural gas
NG_Density	[kg/m3] Density of natural gas





SCO_Density

[kg/m3] Density of SCO

Tracked Input Flows:

Natural Gas US Mix - NETL [Natural gas (resource)] [Techno

[Technosphere] Combusted natural gas

input

Naphtha [Organic intermediate products] Bitumen plus Diluent [Crude Oil Products]

[Technosphere] NGL input

Electricity [Electric Power]

[Technosphere] Electricity input

[Technosphere] Naphtha input

Tracked Output Flows:

SCO [Crude Oil Products]

Reference flow Gas Co-Product

Natural Gas US Mix - NETL [Natural gas (resource)]

Electricity Co-Product

Electricity [Electric Power]

Section II: Process Description

Associated Documentation

This unit process is composed of this document and the data sheet (DS) Stage1_O_Oil_Sands_Upgrading_2014.01.xlsx, which provides additional details regarding relevant calculations, data quality, and references.

Goal and Scope

This unit process provides a summary of relevant input and output flows associated with the production of synthetic crude oil from an oil sands upgrading facility. The processes allows the user to choose a facility type (delayed coker or hydrocracker) as well as an option for cogeneration. Units that include cogeneration facilities export electricity. In some cases, the processes also export some process gas if an excess remains. Hydrogen production is included inside the boundary and feed/fuel natural gas is accounted for in the net gas total. The reference flow of this unit process is: 1 kg of Synthetic Crude Oil (SCO).

Boundary and Description

There are two primary options for upgrading the bitumen that is produced from oil sands: delayed coking and hydrocracking. This unit process allows the user to choose which technology will be used for upgrading the bitumen that is transported to the upgrader. Bitumen that is produced from either a surface mining or in situ extraction process is diluted with naphtha to all for pipeline transport and delivered to the upgrading facility. The extraction of oil sands and transport of bitumen are outside of the scope of this process.

Delayed coking thermally cracks the long carbon chains contained in the bitumen to produce gas, coke, and SCO (Bergerson et al. 2012). Hydrocracking utilizes hydrogen in the presence of a catalyst to crack the carbon chain and also saturates the newly

cracked molecules with hydrogen. Similar to delayed coking, the hydrocracker produces gas and SCO, but no coke.

Figure 1 shows all of the process inputs and outputs, along with the system boundary for the upgrading of bitumen. The parameter values utilized to scale the inputs and outputs are detailed in **Table 1**. The basis for these parameter values is the GreenHouse gas emissions of current Oil Sands Technologies (GHOST) model developed by the Universities of Calgary and Toronto (Bergerson et al. 2012 and Charpentier et al. 2011). GHOST is a life cycle model which tracks greenhouse gas emissions all the way from the extraction of oil sands up to the entrance to a refinery. Both upgrading processes require steam, electricity, and hydrogen. Steam is generated in a boiler by using a combination of the process gas produced by the upgrader and imported natural gas. If there is an excess of process gas, it is assumed to leave the system boundary as a co-product. In the case of delayed coking, the coke byproduct is assumed to be a waste and is not included as a co-product. The hydrogen is produced in an on-site steam-methane reformer (SMR), which uses natural gas as a process and fuel input. The electricity can be generated on-site or imported from the grid.

Oil Sands Upgrading: System Natural Boundary Gas Natural Gas US Mix - NETL [Natural gas Naphtha Energy use, feedstock, and emissions from production of 1 Electricity [Electric kg of synthetic crude oil at an Power1 upgrading facility (delayed Bitumen plus Diluent coker or hydrocracker). Electricity Key **Process** SCO [Crude Oil Products] Upstream Emissions Data

Figure 1: Unit Process Scope and Boundary

The direct emissions accounted for in this process include the flaring of associated gas as well as fugitive gas emissions (Bergerson et al. 2012). Direct emissions which are part of the overall system, but not accounted for in this unit process include the combustion of natural gas to generate steam for recovery. Indirect emissions which are also part of the overall system, but not accounted for in this process include the supply chain emissions associated with the production of diesel, natural gas, electricity, and the diluent (naphtha, NGLs, or SCO depending on the desired product).



GHOST includes both no cogeneration (boiler only) and cogeneration cases for the upgrading operations. In the no cogeneration case, all of the electricity required for the operation is sourced from the grid. In the cogeneration case, natural gas is imported and combusted in a gas turbine to generated electricity. The exhaust gas is sent to a heat recovery steam generator (HRSG) where the necessary steam is produced. Any excess electricity leaves the boundary as a co-product.

Table 1: Parameter Values for Delayed Coker and Hydrocracker Upgraders (Bergerson et al. 2012, Charpentier et al. 2011)

	Delayed Coker		Hydrocracker		Units			
Parameter	Value	Range	Value	Range	(per m³ SCO, unless otherwise noted)			
Process Inputs								
SCO/Bitumen Ratio	0.85	0.78-0.9	1.03	0.95-1.05	m3 SCO/m3 bitumen			
SCO/(Bitumen+Diluent) Ratio	0.60	0.55-0.63	0.72	0.67-0.74	m3 SCO/(m3 bitumen + diluent)			
Co-produced Process Gas	70	55-115	55	25-115	m³			
Hydrogen Gas	80	65-200	80	75-200	m ³			
Makeup Diluent	20	5-30	20	5-30	L			
Emissions								
Fugitive Methane	1	0-2	1	0-2	kg CO₂e			
Flared Hydrocarbons	6.5	5-10	6.5	5-10	kg CO₂e			
No Cogeneration - Utilities								
Total Gas Required	105	55-115	85	55-115	m³			
Natural Gas (Total – Process Gas)	35	-60-60	30	-60-90	m³			
Electricity for Process	55	40-70	100	85-130	kWh			
Cogeneration – Utilities								
Electricity for Process	55	40-70	100	85-130	kWh			
Gas Turbine Efficiency	30%	N/A	30%	N/A	%			
Total Electricity Produced	1,100	220-2,200	2,000	400-4,000	kWh			
Gas Input for Hydrogen	35	28-87	35	33-87	m³			
Gas Input for Electricity/Steam	348	70-697	633	127-1,266	m³			
Total Gas Required	383	98-784	668	159-1,353	m³			
Natural Gas (Total – Process Gas)	313	-17-729	613	44-1,328	m³			

Table 2 shows the unit process input and output flows for the case in SCO is produced from a delayed coker with no cogeneration.

NETL Life Cycle Inventory Data – Process Documentation File

Table 2: Unit Process Input and Output Flows

Flow Name	Value	Units (Per Reference Flow)				
Inputs						
Natural Gas US Mix - NETL [Natural gas (resource)]	2.63E-02	kg				
Naphtha [Organic intermediate products]	1.71E-02	kg				
Bitumen plus Diluent [Crude Oil Products]	1.76E+00	kg				
Electricity [Electric Power]	6.14E-05	MWh				
Outputs						
SCO [Crude Oil Products]	1.00	kg				
Natural Gas US Mix - NETL [Natural gas (resource)]	0.00E+00	kg				
Electricity [Electric Power]	0.00E+00	MWh				
Carbon dioxide [Inorganic emissions to air]	7.25E-03	kg				
Methane [Organic emissions to air (group VOC)]	4.46E-05	kg				

^{*} **Bold face** clarifies that the value shown *does not* include upstream environmental flows.

Embedded Unit Processes

None.

References

Bergerson et al. 2012

Bergerson, J. A., Kofoworola, O., Charpentier, A. D., Sleep, S., & MacLean, H. L. (2012). Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Current Oil Sands Technologies: Surface Mining and In Situ Applications. Environmental Science & Technology, 46(14), 7865-7874. doi: 10.1021/es300718h

Charpentier et al. 2011

Charpentier, A. D., Kofoworola, O., Bergerson, J. A., & MacLean, H. L. (2011). Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of Current Oil Sands Technologies: GHOST Model Development and Illustrative Application. Environmental Science & Technology, 45(21), 9393-9404. doi: 10.1021/es103912m



NETL Life Cycle Inventory Data – Process Documentation File

Section III: Document Control Information

Date Created: March 25, 2014

Point of Contact: Timothy Skone (NETL), Timothy.Skone@NETL.DOE.GOV

Revision History:

Original/no revisions

How to Cite This Document: This document should be cited as:

NETL (2014). NETL Life Cycle Inventory Data – Unit Process: Oil Sands Upgrading. U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory. Last Updated:March 2014 (version 01). www.netl.doe.gov/LCA (http://www.netl.doe.gov/LCA)

Section IV: Disclaimer

Neither the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) nor any person acting on behalf of these organizations:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this document, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this document may not infringe on privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liability with this report as to its use, or damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this document.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by NETL. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of NETL.